

Consultation on draft guideline – deadline for comments Wednesday, 03 November 2021 at 5pm Email: HomelessnessIHC@nice.org.uk

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p>Please read the checklist for submitting comments at the end of this form. We cannot accept forms that are not filled in correctly.</p> <p>We would like to hear your views on the draft recommendations presented in the guideline, and any comments you may have on the rationale and impact sections in the guideline and the evidence presented in the evidence reviews documents. We would also welcome views on the Equality Impact Assessment.</p> <p>In addition to your comments below on our guideline documents, we would like to hear your views on these questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Which areas will have the biggest impact on practice and be challenging to implement? Please say for whom and why.2. Would implementation of any of the draft recommendations have significant cost implications?3. What would help users overcome any challenges? (For example, existing practical resources or national initiatives, or examples of good practice.)4. The recommendations in this guideline were largely developed before the coronavirus pandemic. Please tell us if there are any particular issues relating to COVID-19 that we should take into account when finalising the guideline for publication. <p>See Developing NICE guidance: how to get involved for suggestions of general points to think about when commenting.</p> |
| <p>Organisation name – Stakeholder or respondent (if you are responding as an individual rather than a registered stakeholder please leave blank):</p> | <p>[Faculty for Homeless and Inclusion Health and Pathway Charity – joint submission by both stakeholders]</p> |

Consultation on draft guideline – deadline for comments Wednesday, 03 November 2021 at 5pm Email: HomelessnessIHC@nice.org.uk

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| Disclosure Please disclose any past or current, direct or indirect links to, or funding from, the tobacco industry. | | [None] | | |
| Name of commentator person completing form: | | [Dr Nigel Hewett Secretary to the Faculty for Homeless and Inclusion Health and Medical Director Pathway Charity] | | |
| Type | | [office use only] | | |
| Comment number | Document [guideline, evidence review A, B, C etc., methods or other (please specify which)] | Page number Or 'general' for comments on whole document | Line number Or 'general' for comments on whole document | Comments Insert each comment in a new row. Do not paste other tables into this table, because your comments could get lost – type directly into this table. |
| | | | | Overall comment -we welcome this guidance and commend the committee for the thorough consideration of the available evidence. |
| 1 | Guideline | General | General | While we understand and accept the constraints that have led to this guidance focussing on adults, we are also painfully aware that half of those in temporary accommodation in England are children, and children brought up in poverty are more likely to become homeless adults. Could this guidance include a recommendation that future guidance for children and families experiencing homelessness should be a priority? |
| 2 | Guideline | General | General | The guidance refers to health and “social care staff”. It would be helpful to clarify that “social care staff” in this context includes hostel, housing support and voluntary sector workers (who may also be peers) who are commonly pivotal in outreach, in reach and advocacy services promoted by this guidance, and often are essential to providing the necessary trusting relationships. Currently such workers often have the role of “next of kin” but may be excluded from multidisciplinary meetings because their role is not recognised. |
| 3 | Guideline | 3 | 8 | Definitions are always a challenge in this area, but this list appears to exclude people fleeing violence - domestic or |

| | | | | |
|----|-----------|----|---------|--|
| | | | | gang related, those in transition such as leaving prison or being discharged from hospital, and those at risk of homelessness due to legal precarity. A possible solution is to add this sentence – “in summary, people aged 16 and over who are likely to be considered “homeless or threatened with homelessness” as defined in Section 175 of the Homelessness Reduction Act 2017 ” |
| 4 | Guideline | 6 | 4 | Review C has multiple examples of the benefits of outreach services. It would be helpful to reflect this in the guidance. This sentence could be improved as follows- “Recognise that more effort, targeted and outreach approaches are often needed...” |
| 5 | Guideline | 6 | 19 | The general principles are important for framing the understanding and application of the rest of the guidance. A key component of entrenched homelessness is multi-morbidity, with roots in poverty, deprivation, and trauma. For this reason, most inclusion health clinicians recognise the importance of contributing to addressing the social determinants of health through their practice. This could be recognised by adding the following general principle – “Recognise the importance of addressing the social determinants of health and multi-morbidity through poverty informed care (such as advocacy, support and referral for benefits and housing advice), multi-disciplinary working and cultural competence, while always considering safeguarding and Mental Capacity assessment.” |
| 6 | Guideline | 8 | 6 | Some people experiencing homelessness have literacy problems and are digitally excluded. Under communication methods it is therefore important to also include “face to face/in person.” |
| 7 | Guideline | 12 | 10 | Palliative and end of life care (EOLC) requires a specific assessment, and should be included in this sentence, after “...alcohol and drug recovery needs, palliative and end of life care ,”. This is supported by accepted evidence (Shulman 2018) which shows that palliative and EOLC planning for homeless people is often lacking and often leads to sub-optimal EOLC. |
| 8 | Guideline | 12 | 24 | Suggest add “referral for legal advice” to the practical needs line, many homeless people need professional legal advice to support rights to housing or immigration status in order to protect their health. |
| 9 | Guideline | 13 | 16 & 23 | We would suggest “homelessness health leads” to differentiate this role from a housing specific post. |
| 10 | Guideline | 15 | 15 | This guidance highlights elsewhere the importance of trauma informed, and psychologically informed services. Would the committee consider adding “trauma informed services” as a very useful universal approach which will help remove a barrier to access? Report of a training pilot here . |
| 11 | Guideline | 16 | 20 | In our experience, as well as care packages, young frail homeless people with significant care needs also have difficulty accessing residential care. This sentence could be improved as follows – “...social care support get long-term care packages, or care home placement , irrespective of their age.” |
| 12 | Guideline | 18 | 4 | It is important to be clear when we are talking about Capacity under the Mental Capacity Act, rather than “capacity” which may mean capability or availability. This sentence might be better to state “Mental Capacity”. |
| 13 | Guideline | 19 | 10 | This guidance emphasises the importance of recording housing status. Medical providers can also provide important evidence for access to benefits to prevent further homelessness. The detailed assessment should therefore include a “housing and benefits history” to ensure appropriate advocacy for secondary prevention of homelessness. So – “acute and long-term conditions, housing history, access to benefits , and social care needs” |

Consultation on draft guideline – deadline for comments Wednesday, 03 November 2021 at 5pm Email: HomelessnessIHC@nice.org.uk

| | | | | |
|----|-----------|----|----|--|
| 14 | Guideline | 19 | 10 | In our experience there is a danger of re-traumatising vulnerable people by asking them to continually repeat their stories. Suggest add to this bullet point as follows – “while minimising the risk of re-traumatisation, by avoiding unnecessary repetition of a history which is already on record.” This is supported by Review A,B, P76, line 51. |
| 15 | Guideline | 19 | 12 | Suggest add a further bullet point – “if the death of the patient in the next 6 to 12 months would not be unexpected, consider involving the patient in palliative and end of life care planning” |
| 16 | Guideline | 19 | 19 | In our experience the voice of the hostel worker or housing support worker is often ignored by health staff, and they frequently have important information to share. Suggest add “including housing support or hostel workers”. |
| 17 | Guideline | 19 | 20 | Would the committee consider including a link (https://www.pathwaypartnership.org/what-we-do) to the support available from Pathway Charity to set up homeless multidisciplinary teams in secondary care? This is supported by evidence accepted by the committee (Hewett 2016, Khan 2020) and is part of the NHS long term plan (p42) . |
| 18 | Guideline | 22 | 15 | There are other specific types of accommodation which are worth including here, by adding to this sentence – “including dry / abstinence based services and those with onsite social care.” |
| 19 | Guideline | 23 | 6 | In our opinion the Care Act 2014 has great potential for improving the care of vulnerable homeless people. For this reason we feel that all practitioners should consider the potential benefit of a safeguarding referral, with particular reference to provisions for self-neglect. Please consider if the guidance could be strengthened by this sentence to 1.10.1 – “but all practitioners should have expertise in assessing self neglect in relation to the Care Act 2014.” |
| 20 | Guideline | 24 | 22 | Shulman 2018 makes the important point that not everyone will recover, and a relentless focus on the “recovery journey” may mean that opportunities to address person centred palliative and end of life care are missed. Suggest add this sentence – “Not everyone will recover, if the death of the patient in the next 6 to 12 months would not be unexpected, consider changing the focus of conversations to exploring what living well means to someone and involving the patient in palliative and end of life care planning.” |
| 21 | Guideline | 25 | 9 | In our opinion cultural competence is also important and worth naming – the sentence could be improved as follows – “homelessness as part of equality and diversity training, including cultural competence , the impact of...” |
| 22 | Guideline | 25 | 13 | In our experience consideration of legal duties can omit Safeguarding duties. Would the committee consider improving the sentence as follows? – “ Safeguarding , legal duties and powers.” |
| 23 | Guideline | 28 | 18 | This section concerns recovery orientated language. However, Shulman 2018 points out that, as everyone will not recover it is important that there is not a pressure on health and homelessness staff around recovery to the extent that it detracts from person centred conversations. To reflect this we suggest adding the following sentence. “However, it is important to recognise that if recovery is unlikely due to someone’s illness, conversations might focus more on exploring what is important to them and what living well means to them.” |
| 24 | Guideline | 30 | 14 | In our experience the “teachable moment” or “light bulb moment” for people with complex needs on the cusp of engaging with change needs a bespoke, rapid and flexible response. This is the basis of “Housing First”. We would like to see research into bespoke rapid interventions, such as immediate admission for alcohol rehabilitation for people following an unplanned detox during an acute hospital admission. Would the committee consider this idea? |
| 25 | Guideline | 39 | 3 | Many clinical record systems do not yet include accurate coding choices for recording housing status. The need for this is included in the PHE homelessness: applying all our health link which follows line 3. Would the committee |

Consultation on draft guideline – deadline for comments Wednesday, 03 November 2021 at 5pm Email: HomelessnessIHC@nice.org.uk

| | | | | |
|----|---------------------|----|----------|--|
| | | | | consider adding advice that “accurate recording of homelessness status requires local data systems to support appropriate coding” |
| 26 | Guideline | 42 | 25 | Local services are limited by the homelessness coding options offered by primary and secondary care clinical computer systems. We suggest adding – “national consensus and implementation of an appropriate range of clinical homelessness codes for any setting would support consistency and local action”. |
| 27 | Guideline | 44 | 15 | Concerning MDT’s in hospitals, research accepted by the committee (Hewett 2016, Khan 2020, Cornes 2020) shows that MDTs in hospitals are not just cost effective, but improve outcomes for homeless people. The accepted evidence warrants the following addition to this sentence – “having multidisciplinary homelessness teams in hospitals resulted in some cost savings and improved outcomes for people experiencing homelessness ” |
| 28 | Guideline | 57 | 22 | Concerning hospital MDT’s, the Pathway approach is the only nationally adopted approach, highlighted in the NHS Long Term Plan (p42), funded and provided by the NHS, but supported by the Charity. Given that the committee have accepted the evidence (Hewett 2016, Khan 2020, Cornes 2020) for the cost effectiveness and improved outcomes produced by the Pathway approach to Hospital MDT’s, it would be helpful to commissioners to provide a link to the support available from Pathway Charity to set up and support such services. https://www.pathwaypartnership.org/what-we-do |
| 29 | Guideline | 60 | 9 | We have some concern about the suggestion that intermediate care can be provided in hostels, with the implication that this would be a cheaper option. Does the committee have evidence to support this assertion? Our experience is that good quality intermediate care is difficult to provide in a general hostel, with particular challenges around substance use and control of prescribed medication. |
| 30 | Guideline | 60 | 24 | Would the committee please look again at the accepted evidence (Hewett 2016, Khan 2020, Cornes 2020) supporting the Pathway approach to providing homelessness MDT’s in secondary care (including physical health settings and psychiatric care). We believe that this evidence would support including the following sentence and link at page 60 line 24. “ The Pathway approach to homelessness MDT’s in secondary care has an evidence base suggesting improved outcomes and cost effectiveness” |
| 31 | Guideline | 69 | 18 | An additional HEE resource that might be worth including is here https://www.hee.nhs.uk/our-work/mental-health/resources scroll down to Inclusion Health Education Mapping and Review. |
| 32 | Guideline | 69 | 19 | Would the committee also consider including a suggestion of the potential benefits of joining supportive networks such as the Faculty for Homeless and Inclusion Health , LNNM and QNI network ? |
| 33 | Evidence Review A,B | 53 | Table 13 | Cornes 2020 paper – the analysis of the benefits of clinically led vs housing led MDT’s does not include the finding that clinically involved MDT’s increased planned care for patients after discharge, compared to housing led MDT’s. The Cornes paper found that housing led teams were more cost effective – because clinicians cost more and outcomes were similar. Given the wider findings of the NICE committee about the importance of multidisciplinary working, including clinicians, it is importance to look beyond which service is cheapest and include outcomes like more planned care, to promote clinically involved multidisciplinary care for hospital patients. |

Insert extra rows as needed

Please return to: HomelessnessIHC@nice.org.uk

Checklist for submitting comments

- Use this comment form and submit it as a **Word document (not a PDF)**.
- Complete the disclosure about links with, or funding from, the tobacco industry.
- Include **page and line number (not section number)** of the text each comment is about.
- Combine all comments from your organisation into 1 response. **We cannot accept more than 1 response from each organisation.**
- Do not paste other tables into this table – type directly into the table.
- Ensure each comment stands alone; do not cross-refer within one comment to another comment.
- **Clearly mark any confidential information or other material that you do not wish to be made public. Also, ensure you state in your email to NICE that your submission includes confidential comments.**
- **Do not name or identify any person or include medical information about yourself or another person** from which you or the person could be identified as all such data will be deleted or redacted.
- Spell out any abbreviations you use
- For copyright reasons, **do not include attachments** such as research articles, letters or leaflets. We return comments forms that have attachments without reading them. The stakeholder may resubmit the form without attachments, but it must be received by the deadline.
- **We do not accept comments submitted after the deadline stated for close of consultation.**

You can see any guidance that we have produced on topics related to this guideline by checking [NICE Pathways](#).

Note: We reserve the right to summarise and edit comments received during consultations, or not to publish them at all, if we consider the comments are too long, or publication would be unlawful or otherwise inappropriate.

Comments received during our consultations are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the comments we received, and are not endorsed by NICE, its officers or advisory Committees.

Data protection

The information you submit on this form will be retained and used by NICE and its advisers for the purpose of developing its guidance and may be passed to other approved third parties. Please do not name or identify any individual patient or refer to their medical condition in your

Integrated health and social care for people experiencing homelessness

NICE National Institute for
Health and Care Excellence

Consultation on draft guideline – deadline for comments Wednesday, 03 November 2021 at 5pm Email: HomelessnessIHC@nice.org.uk

comments as all such data will be deleted or redacted. The information may appear on the NICE website in due course in which case all personal data will be removed in accordance with NICE policies.

By submitting your data via this form you are confirming that you have read and understood this statement.

For more information about how we process your data, please see our [privacy notice](#).

Please return to: HomelessnessIHC@nice.org.uk